1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
2		
3	E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375 Deputy Attorney General	
4	ELAINE GYURKO Senior Legal Analyst	
5	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
6	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-4944	
7	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 1H 2007 416
13	NICK DANIAL MESSORE 3623 Maine Avenue	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Baldwin Park, California 91706	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIES</u>	
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in	
20	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On or about June 27, 2007, the Board received an application for a	
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License from Nick Danial Messore (Respondent). On or about	
24	June 4, 2007, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
25	answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on December	
26	18, 2007.	
27	///	
28	///	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of
 California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter
 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3732, subdivision (b) of the Code states:

"The board may deny an application, or may order the issuance of a license with terms and conditions, for any of the causes specified in this chapter for suspension or revocation of a license, including, but not limited to, those causes specified in Sections 3750, 3750.5, 3752.5, 3752.6, 3755, 3757, 3760, and 3761."

7. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

"

"(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

"…"

8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license

suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

" . . .

"(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence.

" ,,,

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

///

///

7 📗 / / /

11. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

12. Section 3753.1, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime)

13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Business and Professions Code sections 3750, subdivision (d), 3752, and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, subdivision (c), in conjunction with Business and Professions Code section 3732, subdivision (b), in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The circumstances are as follows:

August 18, 2004, Conviction in Case No. TWV043330

- A. On or about January 3, 2004, Respondent was arrested by a San Bernardino County Deputy Sheriff for driving under the influence of alcohol, and driving with .08% or more blood alcohol content. The results of Respondent's breath test indicated his blood alcohol level was .11%.
- B On or about January 15, 2004, in San Bernardino County Superior Court Complaint No. TWV043330, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence of alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (Count 1), and driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), a misdemeanor (Count 2).
- C. On or about August 18, 2004, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to driving under the influence of alcohol (Count 1). He was placed on probation for three years with the following terms and conditions, among

///

others: pay fines and fees in the amount of \$1,474.00, and complete a first offender alcohol program. His driving privilege was restricted for three months.

August 18, 2004, Conviction in Case No. TWV044815

- D. On or about May 26, 2004, a San Bernardino County Deputy Sheriff conducted a traffic stop after he observed Respondent straddling the lanes while driving his vehicle. Upon contact with Respondent, the deputy sheriff smelled the odor of alcohol coming from inside the vehicle. The deputy sheriff noticed that Respondent's eyes were red and watery, and there was an odor of alcohol on his breath. When asked if he had been drinking any alcohol, Respondent admitted that he had had two beers. He failed to successfully complete the field sobriety tests. The results of Respondent's breath test indicated his blood alcohol level was .13%. He was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol, and driving with .08% or more blood alcohol content.
- E. On or about June 18, 2004, in San Bernardino County Superior Court Complaint No. TWV044815, Respondent was charged with driving under the influence of alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor (Count 1), and driving with .08% or higher blood alcohol level, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), a misdemeanor (Count 2).
- F. On or about August 18, 2004, Respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to driving under the influence of alcohol (Count 1). He was placed on probation for three years with the following terms and conditions, among others: pay fines and fees in the amount of \$1,001.00, complete a multiple offender alcohol program, and serve 30 days in county jail (with credit for one day). His driving privilege was restricted for eighteen months. Count 2 of the complaint was dismissed. On September 30, 2004, Respondent's probation was modified, and he was sentenced to 29 days of electronic monitoring in lieu of custody in county jail.

1	<u>PRAYER</u>	
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the	
3	matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a	
4	decision:	
5	1. Denying the application of Nick Danial Messore for a	
6	Respiratory Care Practitioner License;	
7	2. Directing Nick Danial Messore to pay the Respiratory Care	
8	Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on	
9	probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and	
10	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and	
11	proper.	
12		
13	DATED: <u>March 26, 2008</u>	
14		
15	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman: STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		